Overview of Therapeutic Ultrasound Applications and Safety Considerations
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Applications of ultrasound in medicine for therapeutic purposes have been accepted and beneficial uses of ultrasonic biological effects for many years. Low-power ultrasound of about 1 MHz has been widely applied since the 1950s for physical therapy in conditions such as tendinitis and bursitis. In the 1980s, high-pressure-amplitude shock waves came into use for mechanically resolving kidney stones, and “lithotripsy” rapidly replaced surgery as the most frequent treatment choice. The use of ultrasonic energy for therapy continues to expand, and approved applications now include uterine fibroid ablation, cataract removal (phacoemulsification), surgical tissue cutting and hemostasis, transdermal drug delivery, and bone fracture healing, among others. Undesirable bioeffects can occur, including burns from thermal-based therapies and severe hemorrhage from mechanical-based therapies (eg, lithotripsy). In all of these therapeutic applications of ultrasound bioeffects, standardization, ultrasound dosimetry, benefits assurance, and side-effect risk minimization must be carefully considered to ensure an optimal benefit to risk ratio for the patient. Therapeutic ultrasound typically has well-defined benefits and risks and therefore presents a manageable safety problem to the clinician. However, safety information can be scattered, confusing, or subject to commercial conflicts of interest. Of paramount importance for managing this problem is the communication of practical safety information by authoritative groups, such as the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine, to the medical ultrasound community. In this overview, the Bioeffects Committee of the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine outlines the wide range of therapeutic ultrasound methods, which are in clinical use or under study, and provides general guidance for ensuring therapeutic ultrasound safety.
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Ultrasound has seen development not only as a diagnostic imaging modality but also as a therapeutic modality in which energy is deposited in tissue to induce various biological effects. Medical uses of ultrasound for therapy began to be explored in the 1930s. Early applications were tried for various conditions using the mechanism of tissue heating.1 Over the following decades, scientific advances allowed improved methods for effective treatment of Meniere disease by destruction of the vestibular nerve and Parkinson disease using focused ultrasound for localized tissue destruction in the brain.2,3 By the 1970s, the use of therapeutic ultrasound was established for physiotherapy, and research continued on more difficult applications in neurosurgery4 and for cancer treatment.5 Subsequently, the development of ther-
Therapeutic ultrasound has accelerated, with a wide range of methods now in use. The potent application of ultrasound for therapeutic efficacy also carries the risk of unintentional adverse bioeffects, which can lead to severe, even life-threatening patient injury. Therefore, standardization, ultrasound dosimetry, benefits assurance, and side-effect risk minimization must be carefully considered to ensure an optimal outcome for the patient.

The purpose of this review is to briefly outline the recent development of therapeutic ultrasound applications and specialized devices, which have been approved for use, together with associated safety considerations. Therapeutic applications of ultrasound may be used clinically after government approval (eg, by the Food and Drug Administration [FDA] in the United States) for marketing suitable treatment devices. A list of therapy applications with FDA-approved devices in clinical use is provided in Table 1. The fundamental basis behind the ultrasound-mediated deposition of energy and mechanisms for biological effects are discussed, followed by a discussion of ultrasound treatment methods using heating, which include physical therapy, hyperthermia, and high-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU). Nonthermal applications are then reviewed, including extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL), intracorporeal lithotripsy, and lower-power, kilohertz-frequency ultrasound devices. Some ultrasound therapy methods have uncertain, possibly multiple mechanisms, including skin permeabilization for drug delivery and low-intensity pulsed ultrasound, which can accelerate the healing of bone fractures. Prospective new methods of therapeutic ultrasound are mentioned at the end, including new microbubble- or cavitation-based treatment methods. Last, the reader is reminded of important safety considerations, and general guidelines are presented. There is no

Table 1. Food and Drug Administration—Approved Modes for Ultrasound Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapy Method</th>
<th>Therapeutic Outcome</th>
<th>Bioeffect Mechanism</th>
<th>Device Characteristics</th>
<th>General Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unfocused beam</td>
<td>Tissue warming</td>
<td>Heating</td>
<td>Portable handheld</td>
<td>Robertson and Baker.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperthermia</td>
<td>Cancer therapy</td>
<td>Regional heating</td>
<td>Multielement applicator</td>
<td>Samulski et al.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-intensity focused ultrasound</td>
<td>Uterine fibroid ablation</td>
<td>Thermal lesion</td>
<td>Computer directed</td>
<td>Tempany et al.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-intensity focused ultrasound</td>
<td>Glaucoma relief</td>
<td>Permeabilization</td>
<td>Fixed probe with water bath</td>
<td>Burgess et al.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-intensity focused ultrasound</td>
<td>Laparoscopic tissue ablation</td>
<td>Thermal lesion</td>
<td>Handheld</td>
<td>Klingler et al.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-intensity focused ultrasound</td>
<td>Laparoscopic or open surgery</td>
<td>Thermal lesion</td>
<td>Handheld</td>
<td>Ninet et al.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focused ultrasound</td>
<td>Skin tissue tightening</td>
<td>Thermal lesion</td>
<td>Handheld, imaging and treatment</td>
<td>Alam et al.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracorporeal lithotripsy</td>
<td>Kidney stone comminution</td>
<td>Mechanical stress, cavitation</td>
<td>Mainframe with image guidance</td>
<td>Weizer et al.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracorporeal lithotripsy</td>
<td>Kidney stone comminution</td>
<td>Mechanical stress, cavitation</td>
<td>Percutaneous probes</td>
<td>Lowe and Knudsen.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracorporeal shock wave therapy</td>
<td>Plantar fasciitis, epicondylitis</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Mainframe with applicator head</td>
<td>Haake et al.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phacoemulsification</td>
<td>Lens removal</td>
<td>Vibration, cavitation</td>
<td>Generator with probe</td>
<td>Packer et al.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound-assisted liposuction</td>
<td>Adipose tissue removal</td>
<td>Fat liquefaction, cavitation</td>
<td>Generator with probe</td>
<td>Mann et al.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue cutting and vessel sealing</td>
<td>Laparoscopic or open surgery</td>
<td>Thermal lesion, vibration</td>
<td>Handheld</td>
<td>Koch et al.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravascular ultrasound</td>
<td>Thrombus dissolution</td>
<td>Unknown, gas body activation</td>
<td>Intravascular catheter</td>
<td>Parkh et al.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin permeabilization</td>
<td>Transdermal drug delivery</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Handheld</td>
<td>Smith.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound</td>
<td>Bone fracture healing</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Attached transducer</td>
<td>Gebauer et al.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
doubt that continued biophysical discoveries in ultrasound will lead to new treatments and applications. As therapeutic ultrasound’s renaissance continues, new treatments already well established in the laboratory will be translated in the near future to the clinic.

The Biophysical Bases for Therapeutic Ultrasound Applications

Ultrasonic energy can be a potent modality for generating biological effects. Given sufficient knowledge of the etiology and exposimetry, bioeffects can be planned for therapeutic purposes or avoided in diagnostic applications. For therapy, ultrasound can induce effects not only through heating but also through nonthermal mechanisms, including ultrasonic cavitation, gas body activation, mechanical stress, and other undetermined nonthermal processes.22

Starting from the diagnostic reference frame, ultrasound is usually produced from a piezoceramic crystal in very short, ie, 1- to 5-cycle, pulses. Diagnostic ultrasound is often characterized by the center frequency of the pulses (typically in the 2- to 12-MHz range), which is usually a frequency inherent to the thickness of the ceramic crystal. As the pressure amplitude, the frequency, or the propagation length is increased, the ultrasound wave can distort, which could ultimately lead to a discontinuity or shock in the waveform. With regard to bioeffects, increasing the frequency, nonlinear acoustic distortion, or pulse length can increase heating and enhance some nonthermal mechanisms, eg, radiation force. Decreasing the frequency increases the likelihood of cavitation and gas body activation. Increasing the power or intensity tends to increase the likelihood and magnitude of all bioeffects mechanisms. Therapeutic ultrasound devices may use short bursts or continuous waves to deliver effective ultrasonic energy to tissues. Some devices operate at higher amplitudes and therefore tend to produce shocked or distorted waves.

Ultrasonic-induced heating is the result of the absorption of ultrasonic energy in biological tissue. For diagnostic ultrasound, temperature elevations and the potential for bioeffects are kept relatively low or negligible by carefully described indications for use, applying the ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable) principle, limited temporal average intensities, and generally short exposure durations. Therapeutic applications of ultrasonic heating therefore either use longer durations of heating with unfocused beams or use higher-intensity (than diagnostic) focused ultrasound. The use of unfocused heating, for example, in physical therapy to treat highly absorbing tissues such as bone or tendon, can be moderated to produce enhanced healing without injury. Alternatively, the heat can be concentrated by focused beams until tissue is coagulated for the purpose of tissue ablation. Ultrasonic heating, which can lead to irreversible tissue changes, follows an inverse time-temperature relationship. Depending on the temperature gradients, the effects from ultrasound exposure can include mild heating, coagulative or liquefactive necrosis, tissue vaporization, or all three.

Ultrasonic cavitation and gas body activation are closely related mechanisms, which depend on the rarefactive pressure amplitude of ultrasound waves. Ultrasound transmitted into a tissue may have rarefactive pressure amplitudes of several megapascals. This tensile stress is supported by the medium, and, for example, a 2-MPa rarefactive pressure, which is common even for diagnostic ultrasound, represents a negative tension 20 times the atmospheric pressure (ie, 0.1 MPa). This high rarefactive pressure can act to initiate cavitation activity in tissue when suitable cavitation nuclei are present or directly induce pulsation of preexisting gas bodies, such as occur in lung and intestine or with ultrasound contrast agents. Cavitation and gas body activation primarily cause local tissue injury in the immediate vicinity of the cavitationsal activity, including cell death and hemorrhage of blood vessels.

Other potential mechanisms for biological effects of ultrasound include the direct action of the compressional, tensile, and shear stresses. In addition, second-order phenomena, which depend on transmitted ultrasonic energy, include radiation pressure, forces on particles, and acoustic streaming. For high-power or high-amplitude ultrasound for therapy, several different mechanisms may be contributing concurrently to the total biological impact of the treatment. In addition to direct physical mechanisms for bioeffects, there are secondary physical, biological, and physiologic mechanisms that cause further impact on the organism. Some examples are vasoconstriction, ischemia, extravasation, reperfusion injury, and immune responses. Sometimes these secondary effects are greater than the direct insult from the ultrasound.

Therapeutic Applications of Ultrasound Based on Heating

Physical Therapy

Unfocused beams of ultrasound for physical therapy were the first clinical application, dating to the 1950s, which often has been referred to simply as “therapeutic ultrasound.” This modality now typically has a base unit for generating an electrical signal and a handheld transducer. The handheld transducer is applied with coupling gel and
moved in a circular motion over an injured or painful area of the anatomy to treat conditions such as bursitis of the shoulder and tendonitis by trained physical therapy technicians. The objective is to warm tendons, muscle, and other tissue to improve blood flow and accelerate healing. The coupling medium can also include various compounds for enhancing the treatment. Ultrasound application can assist by promoting transport of the compound into the skin, a method sometimes called sonophoresis or phonophoresis (as opposed to electrophoresis).27 Drugs, such as lidocaine and cortisol, have been used extensively in sports medicine. The level of clinical benefit to the patient from physical therapy ultrasound treatments remains uncertain.6,28,29 However, the risk of harm, such as burns, appears to be low when the modality is properly applied. Overall, ultrasound for physical therapy has therefore provided a modest level of efficacy and patient benefit but also a low level of risk.

**Hyperthermia**

A substantial effort during the 1980s and 1990s sought to develop means to ultrasonically heat relatively large volumes of tissue for the purpose of cancer therapy. This method of hyperthermia involves uniformly heating a tumor to about 42°C to 45°C for about 30 to 60 minutes, which appears to be effective in reducing tumor growth.30 Multielement applicators have been used at 1 to 3.4 MHz.7,31 In clinical trials, hyperthermia was used with or without radiation therapy, and modest efficacy has been reported.32,33 Research suggests that hyperthermia may be advantageous for drug delivery treatment using nanoparticles.33 However, the moderate-temperature hyperthermia method has not progressed to widespread clinical use, and the effort in hyperthermia cancer treatment has shifted to the use of HIFU.

**High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound**

High-intensity focused ultrasound was initially studied clinically for thermal ablation of inoperable brain tissue for Parkinson disease.2,34 In an HIFU system, a signal generator is connected to a focusing transducer, which produces very high local intensities of greater than 1 kW/cm² of 0.5- to 7-MHz ultrasound at the focal spot. The lesion produced in tissue typically may be a few millimeters in diameter and length. The position of this spot must be carefully controlled and moved to ablate larger volumes of tissue. This method is approved by the FDA in the United States for treating uterine fibroids,9 cardiac ablation,11 visceral soft tissue ablation,10 and aesthetic treatment to lift the eyebrow.12,35 In addition, a method was developed and was approved for treatment of glaucoma using HIFU.9

In addition to the devices approved by the FDA for clinical use, there are several procedures that are being investigated for clinical application.36 High-intensity focused ultrasound application in therapy and treatment of disease is one of the more active areas of research and development among all of the nonionizing energy modalities such as radiofrequency, lasers, and microwaves. For example, HIFU is under investigation for therapeutic modulation of nerve conductance.37 Among other applications, the oldest and possibly the most investigated area (particularly outside the United States) is the treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia and the treatment of prostate cancer using HIFU. A number of multicenter and systematic studies with several-year follow-up have established the use of HIFU as a viable option for the management of prostate cancer.38,39

A key element of therapeutic applications of ultrasonic energy is the capability to focus energy several millimeters to centimeters away from the transducer plane. It is, therefore, very important to accurately determine the location of the treatment zone with ultrasound systems. Furthermore, the tissue changes in the treatment zone must be reliably monitored to confirm that adequate treatment has been achieved. The focused ultrasound beam can then be moved to a different location to complete the treatment of the planned volume. Two methods used for image guidance and treatment monitoring are magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasound imaging. Magnetic resonance imaging can measure temperature changes during therapy, within the treatment zone of therapeutic ultrasound procedures.40 Specialized clinical systems have ultrasound therapy subsystems integrated into magnetic resonance scanners, which are used for uterine fibroid treatment9 and brain,41,42 breast,43,44 bone,45 liver,46 and prostate cancer management.47 Ultrasound-based guidance and monitoring offer the possibility of systems that incorporate both the treatment and imaging modality in one compact system. Ultrasound image monitoring of tissue changes during ultrasound therapy is still under development, and some of the possible methods are based on a combination of the speed of sound, attenuation, stiffness, and vapor content changes in the target region,48–51 including boiling detection.52

In addition to external focused devices, a number of other devices and systems are being developed for soft tissue coagulation, which are primarily used in noninvasive approaches: percutaneously for interstitial liver tissue ablation53 or through natural orifices such as the transrectal approach for prostate treatments.38 For example, transurethral ultrasound has been proposed for heating the prostate47,54; endoscopic treatment with an intraducal ultrasound probe
has been used to treat bile duct tumors; and an intravascular approach has been used for cardiac ablation.

Considerable research and development are being pursued in the area of noninvasive aesthetic applications. Focused ultrasound in these applications is directed within the first 2 to 20 mm of the skin and subcutaneous tissue (dermis to subcutaneous fat). Very small lesions of approximately 1 mm³ up to several tens of cubic centimeters can be produced. The approach may provide a safer alternative to liposuction for cosmetic applications. Superficial tissue is exposed to HIFU, leading either to a contraction of collagen-based tissue (dermis) or to destruction of adipose tissue. A clinical system has been approved for fat debulking in the European Union and Canada. Depending on the device, as well as the cosmetic application, both thermal and nonthermal mechanisms within an ultrasonic field are used for these procedures. One of these devices is currently approved for clinical use in the United States, and others are in use worldwide. Long-term use of this technology, as well as regulatory approval, is still evolving.

High-intensity focused ultrasound applications involve delivery of substantial ultrasonic energy to localized areas, and undesired tissue injury is always a consideration. Typically, unwanted burns and pain can occur. In addition, HIFU can cause vasospasms and hemorrhage under conditions that generate concomitant cavitation in tissue. Other substantial bioeffects and complications can also occur, with unique risk-benefit considerations for each application. Treatment of the prostate, such as for prostate cancer, can lead to several urologic complications, including impotence and incontinence, which can also accompany other types of treatment for prostate cancer. High-intensity focused ultrasound has been used to treat atrial fibrillation by tissue ablation to produce pulmonary vein isolation. However, severe complications can occur due to creation of an atrial-oesophageal fistula, a concern that is difficult to eliminate. Treatment of hepatic and pancreatic cancer can also lead to serious complications, including fistula formation and rib necrosis with delayed rib fracture. Detailed safety considerations should accompany the introduction of HIFU applications into clinical practice to ensure a benefit while minimizing risk to the patient.

Therapeutic Applications of Ultrasound Based on Nonthermal Mechanisms

Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy

Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy is a widely used ultrasound therapy, which relies on nonthermal mechanisms for its efficacy. When introduced in the 1980s, lithotripsy gained rapid acceptance and became the dominant treatment method. Shock wave devices similar to lithotripters are approved and marketed for orthopedic indications such as plantar fasciitis and epicondylitis. The use of shock waves for treating other problems, such as gall-bladder stones, has also been explored, but none have achieved widespread usage. Over 50 lithotripter devices have been on the US market. Fluoroscopy is used for targeting the acoustic focus on the stone in the United States, although some lithotripters have B-mode ultrasound for targeting. The first lithotripters were electrohydraulic, using an underwater spark source and a reflector. Most lithotripters now are of the electromagnetic design, which deposits a high transient current through a coil that in turn produces displacement of a plate. Very few lithotripters use piezoceramic sources. All produce about the same waveform: a 1-microsecond shocked spike of about 50 MPa followed by an approximately 10-MPa, 4-microsecond negative pressure tail. The center frequency might be estimated to be about 150 kHz, although it is not a commonly determined parameter.

For ESWL treatment, the source is coupled to the patient by a water pillow and transmission gel and in the remaining original lithotripters through a water bath. Coupling has recently been recognized as an important factor in ESWL treatment efficacy; a point that has implications across therapeutic ultrasound. About 3000 shock waves are triggered at about a 2-Hz repetition rate to pulverize the stone so that the pieces (<2 mm) can pass naturally in urine. The prominent mechanism is the wave running over the stone, creating shear waves to tear the stone apart from within. Cavitation chips away from the outside, adding cracks that grow by dynamic fatigue and further grind down the stone to a passable size.

Lithotripsy has several important biological side effects. It causes injury to virtually all patients. Blood vessel walls break, and there is bleeding into the connective tissue interstitium, which can result in bruising of the parenchyma or the formation of massive subcapsular hematomas. Inflammation ensues (ie, lithotripsy nephritis), which can lead to scar formation and permanent loss of functional renal mass. In addition to and likely a result of this direct injury cascade, lithotripsy can lead to an accelerated rise in systemic blood pressure, a decrease in...
renal function, onset of hypertension, an increase in the rate of stone recurrence, and an exacerbation of stone disease. A single retrospective study has linked lithotripsy and diabetes mellitus.

The risks of these adverse bioeffects in lithotripsy have stimulated investigation into mitigation methods with some success. For example, a slower repetition rate (1 Hz) is safer and more effective than the common fast rate (2 Hz), and a pause early in treatment nearly eliminates injury in animals. Overall, lithotripsy has been a therapeutic ultrasound method with a high level of efficacy and patient benefits but also some important risks, particularly for patients requiring repeated treatments. The development of safer treatment protocols for lithotripsy is a prime example of the potential value of research on risk mitigation for optimizing the patient risk-benefit profile in therapeutic ultrasound.

Intracorporeal Lithotripsy
Lithotripsy is also accomplished by minimally invasive probes, which are advanced to the stone. Intracorporeal lithotripsy is the favored treatment for many patients, for example, for very large stones, and many different methods and techniques have been reported. The stone may be imaged for guidance by external ultrasound imaging or fluoroscopy or by ureteroscopy, endoscopic, or laparoscopic methods. Rigid probes may be manipulated percutaneously, but some flexible probes can be applied via the ureter. Rigid ultrasound probes can use both pneumatic action at a few hertz to 1000 Hz and ultrasonic action at about 25 kHz. Electrohydraulic probes, which generate a vaporous cavity at the tip (similar to the spark gap external lithotripter but without focusing), have been used in the past. Intracorporeal lithotripsy carries risks of hemorrhage, ureteral perforation, urinary tract trauma, and infection due to the invasive nature of the procedures.

Kilohertz-Frequency Ultrasound Devices
Ultrasound systems operating in the kilohertz frequency regime (20–90 kHz), similar to “sonicators” used in biological research to break up cells and tissues, are used routinely in general and advanced surgical procedures for tissue cutting and hemostasis as well as for tissue removal. These systems appear to act primarily though localized biophysical effects close to the probe tip, rather than via radiated ultrasound waves. For example, a kilohertz-frequency ultrasound probe is used for phacoemulsification to remove the lens of the eye during surgery for cataracts. The probe appears to mechanically chop up the lens, possibly aided by ultrasonic cavitation, with the lens debris removed by suction through the probe. The procedure is well established in ophthalmology and minimizes the impact on the lens capsule.

Surgical ultrasound instruments, known as “harmonic scalpsels,” have a 40- to 80-kHz vibrating titanium rod with a static clamp member, between which the tissue (and blood vessels) is rapidly coagulated due to localized frictional heating. Another procedure, ultrasound-assisted liposuction, is widely used in cosmetic surgery for the purpose of removing excessive fat tissue. The mechanism of action apparently involves cavitational fat cell breakup with removal of the fat emulsion by suction through the probe. This procedure is invasive and can lead to complications such as bleeding, scarring, and infection.

Therapeutic Applications of Ultrasound With Multiple Mechanisms

Catheter-Based Ultrasound
Intravascular catheters have been developed with megahertz-frequency ultrasound transducers placed near the tip for enhancing dissolution of thrombi. The catheter is placed into a deep vein thrombus, and the ultrasound is directed radially into the thrombus. In addition, there are provisions for infusion of thrombolytic drugs, such as tissue plasminogen activator. The ultrasound accelerates the action of the thrombolytic drugs so that the total infusion doses of drugs and treatment times can be reduced substantially. The role of this method and the full range of its clinical usefulness for thrombolysis are still being evaluated.

Skin Permeabilization
For transdermal drug delivery, the stratum corneum (≈10–30 μm in thickness) forms a barrier to passive drug diffusion for molecules that have a weight of greater than 500 Da. One effect of low-frequency ultrasound (<100 kHz) is its ability increase permeability of the stratum corneum, which is considered a primary barrier to protein diffusion. Once a drug has traversed the stratum corneum, the next layer is easier to cross, and subsequently the drug can reach the capillary vessels to be absorbed. This skin permeabilization method may be useful for avoiding the multiple use of needles, for example, for delivery of heparin or insulin through the skin.

Low-Intensity Pulsed Ultrasound
Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound has therapeutic applications to accelerate the healing of bone fractures, including cases of nonunion. The characteristics of the pulsed ul-
trason, for example, a 1.5-MHz frequency with a 30-
mW/cm² spatial-average temporal-average intensity, are
in the range of diagnostic ultrasound. The biophysical
mechanisms for the therapeutic action are uncertain for
this application. Therapy involves multiple treatments of
20 minutes each day by applying a large flat transducer to
the site of injury and continuing treatment for periods of
months. Although the process appears to be safe and ef-
fective, the therapy is slow, and its use is predominantly
limited to management of nonhealing fractures.

Prospective New Methods of Therapeutic
Ultrasound

In this era of ultrasound research, several new means of
applying ultrasound for therapy are undergoing intensive
research and development. The novel methods use low-
frequency, moderate-power ultrasound aided by stabilized
microbubbles for gas body activation or very high-power
pulsed ultrasound with vigorous cavitation.

Direct sonothrombolysis using external, typically low-
frequency ultrasound has been tested for treatment of
thrombotic disease such as stroke.84 This new strategy
shows promise but also has shown a potential for dele-
terious side effects. For example, increased symptomatic
brain hemorrhage was found in a clinical trial for treat-
ment with 300-kHz ultrasound plus tissue plasminogen activator relative to treatment with tissue plasminogen ac-
tivator alone.85 Recent work suggests that microbubbles enhance thrombolysis and may be of value in improving
stroke therapy.86

Another potential application in the brain uses trans-
cranial pulsed ultrasound (0.25–0.5 MHz) at relatively low
levels (spatial-peak temporal-average intensity, 26–163
mW/cm²) to produce cortical and hippocampal stimula-
tion in mice.87 Because measured temperature gradients
were less than 0.01°C, nonthermal mechanisms for the
neuronal effects were hypothesized.

Microbubble-based therapeutic strategies are under
study for ultrasound-directed and targeted therapy. In
these strategies, the external ultrasound exposure activates
microbubbles in the circulation, which may also act as drug
carriers, at a desired site of treatment. Microbubble con-
trast agents have also found applications in improving the
therapeutic efficacy of biologically active molecules.88 Sev-
eral possible mechanisms include (1) enhancement of the
concentration of therapeutic biomolecules in the vascular
compartment of the target area, (2) increased therapeutic
cencentration of therapeutic biomolecules in the vascular
compartment of the target area, (2) increased therapeutic
agent delivery by extravasation through blood vessels, and
(3) potentially enhanced intracellular delivery. Mol-
eules of the therapeutic agent can be attached to the
outer shells of bubbles, incorporated within the bubble
shells, or loaded in the interior of the microbubbles and
released in the vascular compartment through ultrasound-
induced microbubble disruption.89,90 The extravasation of
a therapeutic agent is achieved through the permeabiliza-
tion of blood vessels with ultrasound and microbubbles,
for example, to cross the blood-brain barrier.91 The ultra-
sound microbubble-based delivery of therapeutic agents
has one main advantage over other techniques using col-
loidal drug carriers such as nanoparticles or liposomes: the
microbubble-based technique may be targeted through the
external control of the ultrasound. This localized approach
may then improve the therapeutic efficacy of drugs, in-
cluding routinely used chemotherapeutic agents such as
paclitaxel. The dose of the agent to normal tissue is low-
ered, with a consequent minimization of unwanted drug
effects away from the treatment site.92 At the cellular level,
ultrasound with microbubbles can be used to transiently
permeabilize cell membranes, allowing transfer of large
molecules into the cells. DNA transfer has been shown in
extensive research on gene therapy applications.93

The cavitation mechanism is also being exploited to
create a new tissue ablation method known as histotripsy.94
In histotripsy (akin to lithotripsy pulses but at a higher fre-
quency), very high-amplitude ultrasound pulses typically
of less than 50 microseconds’ duration at 750 kHz create a
cavitation microbubble cloud to homogenize targeted tis-
sue such as tumors with little heating.95 Longer HIFU
pulses (eg, >3 milliseconds at 2 MHz) of very high inten-
sity can induce rapid heating and also generate cavitation
and boiling with vapor bubbles that expand very rapidly,
thus disrupting tissue.96

Because cavitation is a mechanism secondary to the
ultrasound exposure, the problems of dosimetry and con-
trol are challenging. Determining the energy deposited by
ultrasound with cavitation is difficult under the best of cir-
cumstances.96,97 For cavitating ultrasound, researchers try
to follow 3 rules: (1) understand the medium (including
cavitation nuclei); (2) understand the sound field; and (3)
know when a cavitation effect happens.96 The first rule
refers to the cavitation threshold, whereas the second rule
relates to accurate measurements of the acoustic field. The
third relates to observable cavitation events or secondary
related information that can be monitored. There are var-
ious reliable and scientifically established methods for
quantifying an acoustic field.98–101 Passive detection meth-
ods, measuring broadband acoustic noise from bubble col-
lapse for monitoring cavitation activity, can be deployed,
and research has indicated useful dosimetric parameters
that may be derived for predicting bioeffects. As new cavitation-based treatments are developed, new means for cavitation dosimetry and control will be needed to ensure optimum patient safety.

**General Guidance for Therapeutic Ultrasound Safety**

Therapeutic ultrasound methods provide a substantial armamentarium for medical practice. In addition, ultrasound brings fundamentally favorable safety characteristics to the clinic. For example, ionizing radiation with its dose accumulation and cancer risk is absent from ultrasound methods. Low-energy exposures, below the threshold for a bioeffect, do not accumulate to produce the effect, even if repeated many times. The ultrasound waves are dispersed and poorly transmitted in air: no lead gloves, aprons, or other protective gear are needed for ultrasound diagnosis or therapy. However, this powerful modality does require attention to several safety factors to achieve the optimum risk to benefit ratio.

**Operator Safety**
The operator of the equipment, for the most part, has little risk of harm from the machines and can remain in the treatment room and safely apply the ultrasound with handheld applicators for some applications. However, simple precautions should be followed for complete operator safety; for example, do not test therapeutic ultrasound equipment on oneself or others (as opposed to diagnostic ultrasound imaging, which can be used on volunteer models for training purposes under medical supervision).

**Patient Safety**
Ultrasound therapy machines are, of course, capable of causing substantial bioeffects; therefore, deliberate caution must be exercised to minimize injury for each patient. Patients should be fully informed of possible risks, as well as expected benefits.

**Quality Assurance**
Ultrasound therapy machines are typically complex and subject to deterioration or failure. Each machine should be monitored and tested on a regular basis for safe operation and verification of appropriate ultrasonic fields to ensure efficacious treatment.

**Accumulating Biological Effects**
Although no cumulative dose has been defined for any ultrasound therapy, unwanted bioeffects such as scarring from burns and vascular injury that occur during treatment can accumulate with repeated treatments, and this possibility should be anticipated. For example, animal studies show permanent loss of functional renal mass with each lithotripsy; therefore, recurrent treatments add injury to already impaired kidneys.

**Risk to Benefit Ratios**
The benefits and potential risks associated with different therapeutic ultrasound methods vary widely and should be appreciated by the operator. For example, physical therapy ultrasound appears to have a low risk of harm in the hands of skilled physical therapists, but the expectation of a therapeutic benefit is also low. Lithotripsy, in contrast, has the tremendous benefit of noninvasively treating a serious disease, which previously required major surgery, but it also has a risk of severe hemorrhage and longer-term kidney injury.

**Safety Research**
The search for new applications of this powerful tool should be pursued carefully, with thorough testing in appropriate animal models to identify possible human adverse events before clinical trials begin. Accurate and precise evaluation of acoustic fields in water and in situ should follow exposimetry and dosimetry procedures and numerical modeling previously recognized in the ultrasound literature. Means for monitoring heating or secondary mechanisms, such as acoustic cavitation, should be in place. Furthermore, to ensure optimum patient benefits from therapeutic ultrasound, dedicated research should continually pursue better and safer methods to enhance present therapies and therapy monitoring.
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